

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/647,730	IIDA, JOJI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas A. Morrison	3653	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Thomas A. Morrison.

(3) Mr. Joseph W. Price (Reg. No. 25,124).

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

3, 7 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted Mr. Price (applicant's representative) on 11/8/05 to get authorization to amend Fig. 1 by adding numerals 56, 58 and 60 to clearly identify the pressure rollers. Also requested authorization to add such numerals to the numbered paragraph [0046] of the specification. Moreover, requested authorization to amend claim 3 to provide proper antecedent basis for the normal banknote discharge path and the rejected banknote storage path. Also, requested authorization to change the names of the sensors and the signals in claims 3, 7 and 10, to avoid any conflict with the names of sensors and signals in the specification. Finally, requested authorization to change the dependency of claim 10 to depend from claim 7 instead of the previously canceled claim 9. Mr. Price authorized such changes during a follow up telephone conversation on 11/9/05. These changes were made by examiner's amendment (copy attached). Such amendments were discussed with a primary examiner prior to contacting Mr. Price on 11/8/05.